

Treason by Misinformation

(Continued from Page 1)

China stand absolutely together in all important matters.

While we do not agree with all of Senator Strom Thurmond's conclusions, he does keep his facts straight. He's usually out-of-step in Washington because he still tries to give service to the old-fashioned maxim that honesty is the best policy—even in Washington. In a report of Feb. 21, 1966 which will never be published in any important organ of the "Free Press," Senator Thurmond wrote:

Many, Many Viet Nams

In January, 1966, while American attention was focused on the war in Southeast Asia, the seeds of many, many more "Viet Nams" were being diligently cultivated just off American shores. Between January 3 and January 10, delegates and observers from communist countries and parties of 82 countries met with great fanfare in Havana, Cuba . . .

Although the conference was used to the maximum extent possible for propaganda purposes, as is usual with communist gatherings, the major importance of the conference is the action taken.

Permanent committees were established to operate from Havana to co-ordinate and support communist revolutions on the continents of Africa, Asia, and Latin America. Indeed, the meeting was called a "Tricontinental conference," but the name is misleading. In no sense was the meeting limited to three continents.

Delegates and observers came not just from Africa, Asia, and Latin America, but also from Europe. One of the dominating influences at the conference was the Chairman of the Soviet delegation (Sharif P. Rashidov), who is an alternate member of the Presidium of the Central Committee of the CPSU. All of the communist nations of Europe were represented. The delegates from the Communist Party of Italy played a major role . . .

. . . On all the fundamental issues, the conference was united. This conclusion was expressed in the unanimous resolution of the conference, as well as by the individual delegations.

Also, despite their much touted differences, the Soviets and Red Chinese were in solid agreement, as were all the delegates . . .

The Chairman of the Soviet delegation expressed support of the "people's wars" against the U.S. in these words:

We are participating in a major event in the history of the national-liberation struggle of the peoples of Asia, Africa and Latin America. The anti-imperialist struggle, with its demands for the unshakable unity of its fighting forces, has brought the peoples of our continents to a realization of the urgent necessity for an even greater consolidation, and an even greater coordination, of our struggle against our common enemy—imperialism and, first and foremost, U.S. imperialism. The Soviet delegation earnestly appeals to all the national organizations and their movements represented at this conference, to unite in the struggle for this great goal. Let our Conference be a new stage on this road. Let it multiply and strengthen the unity of our ranks, and impart new force to the liberation struggle throughout the world.

The Chairman of the Red Chinese Delegation concurred in these words:

As the U.S. imperialists frenziedly suppress the national liberation movement by armed force, the people of Asia, Africa, and Latin America have come to realize ever more clearly that the most effective weapon to defeat U.S. imperialism and all reactionaries and to win liberation is to use violence . . . and wage people's wars against wars of aggression and armed suppression by all U.S. imperialists and its lackeys.

. . . The communist conference in Havana should demonstrate to all Americans, including our Government's officials, that all communists are united . . .

Yet we are constantly being told, and are still being told, that Soviet Russia is mellow-



ABE FORTAS, recent LBJ appointment to Supreme Court, denied during Committee hearings that he had been connected with communists or communist fronts over a considerable period of time. The testimony proved the opposite was the truth.

ARTHUR SCHLESINGER, Administrative Assistant to JFK and active under LBJ, infuriated millions by his statement: "Peo-

ing and coming over to our side, that only Red China continues to preach violence.

TREASON BY MISINFORMATION?

World War Against Poverty

In receiving a "Freedom Award," LBJ said among other things, the following:

"Let the word go forth from this time and place, to friend and foe alike, that the torch has been passed to a new generation of Americans—born in this century, tempered by war, disciplined by a hard and bitter peace, proud of our ancient heritage—and unwilling to witness or permit the slow undoing of those human rights to which this nation has always been committed, and to which we are committed today at home and around the world.

"Let every nation know, whether it wishes us well or ill, that we shall pay any price, bear any burden, meet any hardship, support any friend, oppose any foe to assure the survival and the success of liberty." (Not quoted out of context.)

If this be true, if we really are the saviors of the world and its peoples, then why aren't we doing something about the people who are the most miserable in all the world?

If we are the champions of liberty on such universal scale, what about the people of Cuba, of Red China, of Soviet Russia, of those miserable and enslaved people of the Balkan States, of Rumania, Eastern Germany, Bulgaria, and the other peoples who once knew the blessings of a Christian culture and now live as animals?

If we're going to save the world, how about the saving of those who know the values of liberty and freedom?



What we mean is this: the people of Africa and Asia for whom we are fighting wars to bring freedom, American style, have never known freedom and don't know what to do with it when they get it! They quickly revert to dictatorship, go back to the jungle, resent our gifts and our interference in their way of life. But the people of Cuba have known the feeling of freedom; they know what they have lost, and they we refuse to help!

And we mean this: The Republic of South Africa is a nation with a history very similar to our own. They settled in a land sparsely settled with Blacks, even as our ancestors settled in a land sparsely settled with Indians. There is this big difference; they have treated their native Africans far better than we ever treated our native Americans. Theirs is a Christian culture, they should be looked upon as a model for the world to follow in the handling of multi-cultural and bi-racial problems. So, instead of fighting our wars against poverty in areas where both spiritual and material poverty really exist, we are to cooperate with other

Speaking to the Pharisees (Jews of Today) Jesus said:

Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar and the father of it.

John VIII:44.



ple too often believe in patriotism and religion . . ." Schlesinger is continually being castigated for rewriting history with unpardonable disregard for the truth.

ABBA SCHWARTZ, recently dismissed from the Bureau of Consular Affairs, whose lack of candor even infuriated LBJ and figured prominently in his rejection.

United Nations in preparing a war against the one stable nation in all Africa.

And, again, we mean this: Rhodesia is a 20th century replica of the United States of 1776. But instead of recalling our own heritage and the true liberty and freedom our break with Britain brought about; instead of living up to our own Monroe Doctrine and keeping hands off, we join with Britain and the communist nations in helping to destroy the struggling new republic.

We preach that we shall "support any friend, oppose any foe to assure the survival and the success of liberty." But we turned our backs on the Hungarian Freedom Fighters when but words of encouragement would have been enough to give them liberty. We made Cuba safe for Communism. We prevent Chiang Kai-shek from bringing liberty to a half billion Chinese. We helped to destroy Syngman Rhee of Korea, the Diems of Vietnam were assassinated at our connivance, and the record of similar acts is much too long for enumeration here.

Johnson should have said: "We shall . . . oppose any friend, support any foe to assure the death and defeat of liberty." For this is what the record really shows!

The American people do not know this, and they would not tolerate this if they knew. But, as St. Paul said of the Word: "How shall they hear without a preacher?"

Edward L. Bernays, who was an official party to the creation of the "campaign of misinformation" says, in his book *Public Relations* (University of Oklahoma Press, 1952):

Engineering of consent on a mass scale was ushered in in the 1914-1918 period. With the outbreak of World War I, nations in the conflict and out of it recognized how important public opinion was to the success of their efforts. Ideas and their dissemination became weapons, and words became bullets. War publicity became an essential part of the war effort in each country. The problem of persuading people — in one's own country, in neutral countries, and in enemy countries — was a challenge to the policy-makers and to all those in positions of power in all countries. Many private groups and quasi-public organizations, such as the Red Cross, launched nation-wide publicity campaigns to win public support for the war and their particular share in it. This gave publicity men an opportunity to apply their techniques in the national interest.

From 1914 to 1918 it was the government of the United States that was the number one factor in public relations. President Wilson and various government agencies mobilized every known device of persuasion and suggestion to sell our war aims and ideas to the American people and to neutral countries, and to deflate the morale of enemy countries and get them to accept our ideas.

This "engineering of consent" became perfected during World War Two and now is a weapon more powerful than bombs or armies. But its aim has changed; now its aim is to sell World Government and its direction is universal, not national. A central directing agency operates to oppose the thesis of Com-

(Continued on Page 4)